Batterer Programming & Standards: A Connecticut Perspective

Currently 1/3 of all criminal court cases are domestic violence offenses

In 2014 39,373 domestic violence cases involving victims were heard in civil & criminal courts

Intimate Partner Fatalities in CT

- 2014 13 deaths
- 2013 12 deaths
- 2012 11 deaths
- 2011 15 deaths
- 2010 18 deaths

Batterer Programming in CT

The Judicial Branch-Court Support Services Division funds three program options for domestic violence offenders:

- Family Violence Education Program
- Explore Program
- Evolve Program

Judicial Branch-CSSD Guidelines

As set by the RFP funding FVEP, Explore and Evolve:

- Class Details: size, location, security
- Class Policies: absences, termination, completion requirements
- Agencies: staffing, training, reporting
- Facilitators: expertise, degrees, cultural competence

Judicial Branch-CSSD Successes

- Programs performing at or above the majority of their risk reduction indicators
- Strong program completion rates and low re-arrest rates post-program completion
- Clinical oversight and training provided for facilitators
- Collaborations between Judicial Branch probation officers, family relations counselors, and the contracted service provider supports offender accountability

Batterer Intervention Programming

- According to research by Michigan Batterer Intervention Service Coalition, 43 states have program standards
- Judicial Branch-CSSD maintains standards for offender programs through the RFP/contract process
- Connecticut is listed as not having standards (outside of Judicial Branch programs) in comparison to other states

Batterer Intervention Programming

Unknown numbers of providers and agencies outside of the Judicial Branch-CSSD funding stream are engaging in individual counseling and group work with domestic violence offenders in Connecticut

CT Challenges

For program providers operating outside the Judicial Branch-CSSD funding stream, questions about:

- Approach(es)
- Accountability
- Content
- Policies
- Oversight
- Data/Outcomes

CT Challenges

Misuse/misunderstanding of batterer programs result in defendants being:

- returned to programs after failure to comply
- ordered to attend class previously completed
- granted FVEP on multiple files
- deemed eligible for programs through the dismissal or substitution of charges

CT Challenges

- A lack of clear standards disadvantages the response of the court system when dealing with offenders and attempting to hold them accountable.
- Providers should be engaged with local victim service agencies and advocates as part of offender accountability measures.

CJPAC Subcommittee

- Board of Pardons and Parole
- CCADV
- Judicial Branch-CSSD
- DCF
- DOC
- DPH
- Family Re-Entry
- Office of the Victim Advocate
- Office of Chief Public Defender
- Chief State's Attorneys Office

CJPAC Subcommittee

- Formed October 2013
- Met monthly through May 2014
- Working Group met every 2 weeks to draft each standard
- Reviewed by professional disciplines represented on subcommittee
- Feedback reviewed and draft revised
- Standards submitted to CJPAC Sept.2014

Program Standards: Presented CJPAC Sept. 2014

- Guiding Principles
- Definitions
- Program Content
- Prohibited Approaches
- Program Intake
- Program Rules
- Program Completion

Program Standards: Presented CJPAC Sept. 2014

- Victim or Partner Contact
- Duty to Warn
- Participant Agreement
- Participant Accountability Plans
- Participant Records
- Provider Agreement
- Provider Ethics
- Outside Collaboration/Observation

Common Elements of State Standards

- Ethical Code
- Independent Monitoring
- Curriculum Prohibitions
- Exclusion and Dismissal Conditions and Procedures
- Victim Accountability Strategies
- Lethality assessment/reassessment throughout program participation